Peter H. Clark then addressed the meeting. He is a fine-looking, well educated young man of some twenty-eight years. He did not wish this to be made a personal question: it was a principle to be settled whether the colored tax payers had a right to the selection of their own teachers, and whether a man was to be garotated by the School Board for a difference in religious opinion. He could tell the white people of Cincinnati the whole secret of the false movement into which their School Board had been betrayed by one or two designing men—colored men he was ashamed to admit them. In the colored School Board, when the funds belonging to it had been withheld by the public authorities, it was resolved to sue for the rights of the colored tax payers. A minority of two opposed this, but the majority triumphed, and the money was recovered. On several other votes a minority of one or two were obliged to yield, and being weak and misdirected men, sought to vent their chagrin by carrying false reports to the worthy President (Mr. King) and other members of the Cincinnati School Board. Time would develope the whole truth.—For the place in the school he did not care, but for the principle he did.